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#### Abstract

Issues related to the estimation of individuals' vocabulary size are discussed, including the rationale for vocabulary size research and the psychological, pedagogical, and quantitative approaches to vocabulary research and methodological problems associated with them. Some results from a large-scale assessment of Finnish comprehensive school students' active and passive vocabularies, word-formation skills, and contextual inference abilities in English are outlined. Resulting vocabulary research directions are suggested in two major areas: test types and student populations. It is recomended that research on test types focus on how to tap partial knowledge of word meanings and their effect on vocabulary size estimates and on estimation of vocabulary in the context of discourse comprehension and production. It is also suggested that the student populations studied be extended to include lower stages of vocahilary development, end-of-sicondary school and university students, sudents with more training in word analysis and context utilization, and students at different ability levels. In addition, theoretical inguiry on the nature of vocabulary learning, teaching, and research is recommended. (MSE)
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## ESTIMATING STUDENTS' VOCAELLARY SIZES IN FOREIO: LANCHACE TEACHING

## Seuli Takalu

## 1 Introduction

In thie paper 1 will decuae eame leaves ralated to the eatimation of peopla'e vacabulary lizee and pracent ame rasulta from one lergenacale easesment atudy. I will firat outiline differant apprecchee se vaeabulary rasearch and then focue on the methodelegicel prob:cris related to quantitative cetimation of ecquitrad vocabularlee. i will conclude by citing mplificel reaulte obtelined from one atudy whara amm nam ideae in teat bheory mera apfliad to vocebulary learning.

2 Differant approachae to vocabulary reamarch

### 2.1 Why etudy vocebulary?

At the outaet ahould eddraes twe balc question: Why ahould enyone be Intereeted in vemalery raeearch? Why ahould vocabulary knowledge be on Interasting and important area for receerchp in aum, wity bothar bout vocebulary? There are eemm Indicatione thet ilinguiatice (a.g., Solinger, 1963; 1970; 1976; Fillmera, 1979; Helle, Beacran \& Milier, 1878; Hallidey 19ck; Moíchuk \& Zolkovaky, 1974; Rakin, 198s) Ie oheming epraing intaraet in the role of the laxicen and in lexteal proceasen on on importont part of Ilingulatic thaery. feyomologiate and peycholingulate heve demenetrated alearly for quite a ame time ago that vocabulary knewladge la she beat pradictor of raeding comprahanaion (e.fe, Anderien \& Fraebody, 1911). According to acme eetimage (e.t.e Frasody \& Anderaen, 1981; Frunkina, 1967; Jatuneth; 1972; Klychnlkove, 1973), cbeut 70 E of the werde the cext should be knemin for a global conceratending of fic maning, obout 90 \& for underatending all maia ldees, and obat es \% for underatending alse detelle. Tryen ' eanclude thet vocabulary knowledga ie definiteiy an tuaptant prepequieIte for diecourae cemprahenalien, and evelng how cantral learring frem taxt is in achoel and out-of-echool, we heve umple raeaen to malntaln that vecabulapy raseasch is an important ares for reaserch end ceservee, if anything, to be etrangthenad end intenolfied.
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### 2.2 Approachee to vocebulery reseerch


#### Abstract

Vocabulary raeaerch can have number of differant epproacliee. In thie peper 1 will diecues thrae euch epproachae. I will call them paychologlcel, padegeglcel, and quantitative, reapactivaly.


If vocabulery raeaerch hae eaychologlcal blce, eaveral questione arles ee poesible reeserch probiome. How Ie vacabulary procesead in comparlaion to 0.9., perception, eyntex or whole diecourae? What is meent by krawing wopdi How doas mamory mork in learning vocebulery (ancoding, eiopage and petrlevel) and how an diftarant techniquee ( $0 . g .$, keyword method, hook metho ' selbly fecilltete voobbulary learnlag? what ccueen dif end what fecilitates vocabulery learning?

If vocabulary raenerch hae padegegical blea, eaveral ofher questione merit attention. What worde bhould be learnad (leaus of celection)? What hould be the natura of learning outcames et different etegee of courees beginning, Intarmediete, Ilnal etage (lesue of objectivee/ goels concarning deelirad vocabulary knowladpe eno kilila)? How ahould morde be emmencizad, l.e., how ehould ehair meeninge be teughty How ehould word meeninge be conealidoted? Whet ahould be the rele of conectous ve. Incldentel vecobulary leapnling?

If vocobulery racearch hae oquatiselve blet, on it may have due te ite natura - eonotiotng in it doen of a Ierge mount ef different worde - wo may aek aemewhet different quationa. What is the cotel elze of vocebulary In e lenguage? than meny differant wapde do peopla know? Haw many morda do erdínery paople une, and how many worde do wiftere unet Hew doen vocabulary grow in childmeed and In the leter eteges ef lifer Hiw commen are different werfa 7

In opder to get enswera to euch queatione, eaveral methofologica! prgblger have co be colved. Whet kind of
 egy knewleage (valifily leave)? How cen we gat good eat lmotee of tatel vecabulery elzee on the beale of o eemple of merde (leave of reseepch dealign, and prebleme paleted te raliebility/dependability and generalizabil. (fy)

## 3 Eetimation of atudenta' vocebulery ilzes

### 3.1 Problem

The maln purpoes of the etudy was to eetimate the elze of etudente' ective end peselve vecebuiery In English efter they had etudied English far eaven yeare (ebout 600 leseone, ebout 550 clock houre). For more detelled deecription of the resench problem, eec the suthor's doctoral diseertetion (Takele 1944).

### 3.2 Design

In this paper we ere interested in eetirating the ovarell size of Englisin vacebulery learned by etudente In the Finnish cimprehencive echool. Thes we deelling with program eveluetion and damaln-pefereneed (er criterionpofsefenced) measurement. Wh wish to genepallze into the whote univeraz or content ít.e.. taught vecebulery) and Into the whole population of efudente. This meane thet it la necessery to epecify the content domeln and draw a rardom eemple from it. Tasly thla kind of deolgn make euch two-way generellietion worible. In euch e design, it is useful or even elimoet neceseery to epply multi-matilx empling, which maens thet iffierent epedente enswor pertly or toisily difporent iteme. Thue ceveral teat forme are randmily roteted In elees.

Pciuletion. The flmal veget mepuletion of the etudy wee deithed osell Fimish-apeakimg esmente in the finel gredo of 'normal' :grpprehanslivi echeel cleceea'.

Student Sene!ing. Preltminary etudies (Takela 1904) had ahown thet it le mapertent te omple oufficient number of echcole, wille it wauld not be necesesery to cemple many et udente from eech achool. The aempling method was e two-atege etretified clueter emple. The primery empling unlt wat the echeol end the eacendery sappling unit was the clese. Four etratin were uacd with the alze of echool end the degree of uitmenizetion of the echool comminity ee the two becee of atratifleation.

The designed cemple of school canalated of 42 echools and the cxecuted ample of 39 echeoln. Altegether, 2,415 etudente took pert in the etudy.

1temsempling. Vocabulery alze eat mation promisod to be - goed iterting point fer generalifebility itudies. It is 'eborlaue bitt pesitble, due te Fialend'e feirly centrailzed echeol eyetem, to deflime the cemain end even llet and count the iteme In the demain.

Two fexibonke, whlch were practicelly the only ones uesd In echools, weris raviewed and werdy eaught in then were lleted eeparately. Taxibook 1 teughe ebout 2,500 worde for the iwo higher este (Sete A and 8) end ebouf

1,500 worde for the lowest ent (Set C). Textbook 2 teught ebout 2,850 worde and 2,340 worde, respectively. From the two eaperate liets, e totel of ebcut 950 worde we: rendemly drawn end dietributed emong 40 different teet forme. Thue each etudent hed to eapond only to 10-50 iteme.

Certeln deelgn lesuee were teeted In the eludy eo that iteme were dietrlbuted to elither "a robuat atudent eapla" and "leae robuet atudent emple". They ere not reported here (eae Tekele 1984).

### 3.3 Cholce of teat type

Severel teet typee were coneldered. The conetructed enower technique, In which atudente, wrote the Engliah equivelente of decontextuellied Finnleh worde ("ective vocabulary") and vice verae ("peoalve vocebulery"), wee chosen on both theoretical end prectical grounde. For e more detelled deecription of the rationele for the cholce of the teat type, eee Takele (1944).

## Scuple item

Inatructiones "In thie teat you cen how how well you know the Engliah vocehulary Included In your courje work. Below ore pramented number of Finniah worde. Your teek Ie ta write the Engilah equivelent on the Ilne ebnve the Finnieh word. Wrife the word even if you mey not be quite eure about the cerrect epailing, alnce ajaliling mietakee ars e miner conaldefation in ecering."


Write the Finaleh equivalents of tha following Englith wor de."


- Dete callaction and dete enalyaie

Dete on etudent "ocabulary knowladge, and on the content of ceaching and learning, wepe collected In the epring of 1979. Dete flle bullding took more than year.

Student anawera were ecared $0-1$ with meaning equivalence et the ultlmate criterion (e.g.. dieregerding epellIng). Interrater egrecmint wee of the order of 95 m .

Dete mere anelyzed ualing e legiatic lem analyale progrem and vocabulery size eetlimese Mre ebtelned through e new varlence cemponante enelyale, othloh ueee the generallized aymetricel aum (gea) mathoet. It wae ahown that the reeulte obtelned with e new progrum are ldentlcel with theoe cermputed with Croabech'e formulee frem the srys Rellebllity Pragren mean oquares Indicee.

## 5 Sanemain resules

The maln teaulte of the otudy can te belefly eummerlaed ee follown.

There waf no ralleble diffarence in the atudenta" paneive and ective voctabulery trowledge, as they mope maceured in the etudy, Al eo. ot vitants' knewi elqe of aimple word-farmation rules and thelr contextcel Infarence oblliky were poorly develeped, in cmerface to iypleal Li ckille. The following reecone mere sastmelt (i) Finalah and English ere not relatel lenguagee, with may mat encourege auch aklile. (2) The eupheple et ehie ceege le en aynecelicel patterne, whilc morpholeay fa lergeiy monteceed. (3) The trectment of toxte le intonelvo glviec etudente little expeaure to Engilah. The cet innted eyorege $01 z 0$ of vacabulary (ase cable la erloimal eat hatea) me aboue 1,000 worde, wish grast vaplobliley in perfermance.

 I lonited word-formation okllite, the eit lameter oughe te be edjuated by up to is \%, by 17 \%, and by $7 \%$ for tiv. enfee eets, reapectively (aee ceble 1 , cerfueted eot mance). The relictionahlp berwoan tought and learand veonimlepy mean

Table 1. Triginel und Coriected Eat tmace fer chipetel wi. Paeilve and Acelve Yecelvitery Sizee, by lot t."

| Set | Originel eethmateo |  | Correcten ens minter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peesive | Aotive | Act 1 vom | Panflve alded' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentet } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Set A | 1,550 | 1.450 | 2,000 | 2,200 | $\cdots 3$ |
| Sel B | 950 | \$50 | 1,025 | 1,030 |  |
| set C | 450 | 350 | 450 | - |  |

Verlence componente enelyeis nowad that wurde made a greater difference In ecores then etudente end that error of maseurement cen be lowered more efflcientiy by fincraesIng the number of word liem then io teking elerger et udent emple. Thera may eleo be en optimal elie of Input In vocebulery leerning. Studente who uned e textbook with - lower Input leerned lese then thoee whose textbook taught more worde.

## 6 Implicetions end conclueione

Now thet now epproech to lerge-scele esesemmet of vocebulery elze hae bean devalopad, tesiad mplifically and found te be promielng line of etudy, eaveral reesefch quastione auggest therselves. These can be divided into two mejor groupa. One hae to do with the teat typas and the other with etusent populatione.

As wea mentionad In the ebove, it wea paseible to teat anly limitad eapecte of vocabulery knowlodge, nemely raletively eolld end eesily ecceseible pasive and ective knowledge of wride. Several experimente ought to be conducted with otter teat typee thet tep more partial know-
 otes ora effectod.

Simileriy, etudenta' knowlydge of vocabulary in the content of dieceuren comprahensition and production ought to be est lamted. Such experiment: wuld provide dete ep complemant the beapline deto collscted in the present atudy. It mould thon be poesible to estimate, with certeln dagrae of confidence, thei if etudenti' decontextuelized and firm knowidedge of $L$ worde la $X$, their mory portiol kapowadge of vecabulery le $X+Y$ worce, otc. It ces be conjectured that partial knowledge of fair mount of beific werde cambined with emm knowledga of beif morphol. ogisel sulen and the eveliebilisy of en adequete context can leat to on adequate cemprahenelor of teat, aeeager and te previde gead epportupity for more mord learning.

The afudy ought to bu extended to other populetione. With regerd ie the preeent atudy, it would be impertent to tast etudente' knowledge of lower etage vocabulery al the end of that chool itage. This mould make it poesibis to explein with ofeeter confldence the finding that luws etage vecobulery mas known bettar than mppor otege vocabm
 vocebulery repeated durling the upper etege, end thue ihe differance in iperning fa atiributeble to an imerane in the opportunity to learn lower etege vocabulery? This question could be studied in even greater detall by looking at each eucceesive erede and compering the reaulte,

Vocebu!ery ifze aeeenment ehould eleo be extended to older populetione. How many wordt do atudente know at the end of the sentor ancondery echool? How meny marde do 22 majure et the unlyeralty know?

Other etudies ought to euitreee the question of how etudente billity to uee word enalyels ekllit devilope over time ee the etudy of L2 progrestes. Teaching experimente ought to be carrled out In whlch etudente of different age lezele ore teught word anelyale and cortext util. fzation ekllie lit order te eee whet effeat euch direct teeching would heve on etudante' vocebulery efficieney.

Further, elnce it was found thet expoeure to more worde hed e fevorable Influance on vacebulery leerning, it ahould be atudiad what exposura leade to optimel word learning for etudente of verying abllity. It seeme likaly that the raletionehip ie not lineer tut more likely en Inverted U-eleped curve.

In terme of curgiculer implicatione anc aducationel equellty concerne, it would be Importent to etudy when the obeerved lerge differencee in vacebulery elize ia L2 emerge, end whether sefiling/atreming (end ueling different textbooke with different Input) tende to iacreeee or decraee euch differences. le IImited Input (i.e., amiler vocebulery ize teught) beter for elow learnere or le thet e nieguided netlen?

In addition to ouch empiricel repeerch; it would bo ueful zo devote eame ettention to more theeratieal auat lons on the neture of vacebulery leerning, tecehing, end reeser. . It It, for Inatence, In the very neture of e domein ilke vocebulery that the input ohould be large, end thet the numbr of werda knem eolldiy would to low or converesty the number of words alnoet forgotion woult ba high? What would that mean for teeching, twating and grediag? IE, for Inetence, the obeeprod lerge itan varlence cemmonent in iadicetion of the tallupt of teeching, or le it e netural characterlatic of L2, ent for thet me:ter Ll, leerning end performance?

It ie obvioue thet whele reseeroh pregren ie meeded to increese our knowledge bout veeabulery teachiag and learning brin In Ll end L2. Cloee Ifnte hetween-Li end L2 vocebulery research ere of greet lmportence for optimal progreas. It may be mars leberieun oo keep treeter whet is Eeing done in bosh Ll and L2 peeserth, but that ie neceasery to evold duplication effert ant ef milize the etete of ert knowledge. Thie le one of the meln leseone thet work on thie Inventigation hae previded. It - time te put thet bellet Ente prectice,' nem, thet the date Invite further eleboretion. Thie will me etmerding experionce, elnce vocebulary reseerch tominatomeneve
epeciel fescination of ite own. lte range of Interest is es wide es life itesif. As Vygoteky eo eptly put it, e word le amicrocoem of humen coneclouenese.
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